

**DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT***Ensuring a Fair and Secure Financial Services Marketplace for all Californians***Jan Lynn Owen**  
**Commissioner of Business Oversight**

October 6, 2016

Re: Opinion Request

Dear Mr. \_\_\_\_\_:

This letter is in response to your request that the Department of Business Oversight (“Department”) state whether \_\_\_\_\_ is subject to the Money Transmission Act (“MTA”). Over the course of discussions with the Department and letters dated February 19, July 7, and July 29, 2016, you explained that \_\_\_\_\_ effects payments of foreign currency to third parties and, in exchange, receives reimbursement by its customers. Based solely on your representations and the documentation provided, and for the reasons set forth below, the Department agrees that \_\_\_\_\_ is not receiving money for transmission and, therefore, \_\_\_\_\_’s activities are not subject to the MTA.

**I. Background**

\_\_\_\_\_ is incorporated under the laws of United Kingdom and maintains its corporate headquarters in London. It provides institutional foreign-exchange services primarily to large international banks. \_\_\_\_\_’s services are not available on a retail basis. Thus, \_\_\_\_\_ does not have any retail outlets or otherwise provide services to consumers. Instead, \_\_\_\_\_ serves its customers through client meetings and its proprietary transaction execution system.

**1. \_\_\_\_\_’s foreign-currency operations.**

\_\_\_\_\_’s customers may request that \_\_\_\_\_ deposit foreign currency into overseas accounts that belong to them or to third parties. According to your February 19 letter, \_\_\_\_\_’s contractual arrangements with its customers are the same regardless of whether the foreign currency is deposited into its customers’ accounts or a third party’s account. You further explained that under the terms of the contract that governs \_\_\_\_\_’s institutional foreign-currency services, \_\_\_\_\_ agrees to transfer its own funds to the designated account and \_\_\_\_\_’s customers agree to reimburse it once the transaction is complete.

Each foreign-currency deposit transaction follows the same sequence. First, customers instruct \_\_\_\_\_ to send foreign currency and indicate, among other things, the number of transfers to make, the desired date of disbursement, and the account into which the deposit is to be made. \_\_\_\_\_ responds by sending the customer a price quote for

1515 K Street, Suite 200  
Sacramento, CA 95814-4052  
(916) 445-7205

One Sansome Street, Suite 600  
San Francisco, CA 94104-4428  
(415) 972-8565

320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 750  
Los Angeles, CA 90013-2344  
(213) 576-7500

1350 Front Street, Room 2034  
San Diego, CA 92101-3697  
(619) 525-4233

45 Fremont Street, Suite 1700  
San Francisco, CA 94105  
(415) 263-8500

300 S. Spring Street, Suite 15513  
Los Angeles, CA 90013  
(213) 897-2085

7575 Metropolitan Drive, Suite 108  
San Diego, CA 92108  
(619) 682-7227

the exchange rate and fees. If the customer accepts the quoted price, \_\_\_\_\_ electronically sends the customer an order confirmation and then executes the transaction.

\_\_\_\_\_’s customers then reimburse it by wire transfer or by an Automated Clearinghouse credit or debit. You stated in your February 19 letter that \_\_\_\_\_ was prepared to not accept reimbursement by Automated Clearinghouse debit.

## **2. Lockbox Solution.**

In response to the Department’s concerns about the timing of a customer’s payment to \_\_\_\_\_, you provided additional information on July 7, 2016 (“July 7 letter”). In your July 7 letter, you proposed a “Lockbox Solution.” Under the Lockbox Solution, \_\_\_\_\_ would establish a deposit account, which you described as a “Lockbox Account.” The Lockbox Account would be titled “[Name of Bank] for the benefit of \_\_\_\_\_ clients.” You further explained that the Lockbox Account would be authorized to receive Automated Clearinghouse credit or wire transfer payments from \_\_\_\_\_ clients. You also stated that \_\_\_\_\_ would not receive funds from its clients by Automated Clearinghouse debit.

You further stated that under this arrangement \_\_\_\_\_ would not receive, possess, have access to, or have control over payments to the Lockbox Account unless and until \_\_\_\_\_ submits an Officer’s Certificate to the bank at which the Lockbox Account is kept. You also stated that \_\_\_\_\_ will not submit the Officer’s Certificate to the bank until it can affirmatively demonstrate that the amount of funds recited in the Officer’s Certificate has been released to the beneficiary. At that time, according to your July 7 letter, the bank will release the client’s payment to \_\_\_\_\_’s operating account. The bank will return any funds from a failed transaction to \_\_\_\_\_’s client after a reasonable amount of time in those instances where \_\_\_\_\_ is unable to resolve the failure.

Your July 7 letter also stated that \_\_\_\_\_ will revise its client agreements to reflect the following new terms and conditions under the Lockbox Solution:

- 1. The Client agrees that it will pay into the Lockbox Account only.*
- 2. The Client acknowledges that the Lockbox Account is an account held by Bank in escrow for the benefit of the Client, on the Client’s behalf, and as agent of the Client.*
- 3. The Client acknowledges that \_\_\_\_\_ will not have possession of, access to or control over, any funds paid into the Lockbox Account, but that funds paid by the Client into the Lockbox Account will be transferred to \_\_\_\_\_’s operating account after \_\_\_\_\_ determines and certifies to Bank that a corresponding amount has been released for Beneficiaries.*
- 4. The Client agrees that any reimbursement obligation to \_\_\_\_\_ will be satisfied only when funds paid by the Client into the Lockbox Account are received*

into \_\_\_\_\_'s operating account, as described in the immediately preceding numbered paragraph.

5. *The Client agrees that if the Client and \_\_\_\_\_ are unable to resolve a Failed Transaction following \_\_\_\_\_'s standard reconciliation procedures, Bank will transfer the corresponding transaction amount from the Lockbox Account to the Client.*

Your July 7 letter also provided representations that \_\_\_\_\_ will ensure that funds are disbursed to beneficiaries before 9:00 a.m. Pacific Time on the date specified by the customer. This timing further ensures the lapse of time between disbursement and release of funds to \_\_\_\_\_'s operating account. In addition, you stated that \_\_\_\_\_ will monitor its foreign accounts for confirmation of release of funds to the beneficiaries.

In response to the Department's further concerns and questions regarding the Lockbox Solution, you submitted additional information by letter on July 29, 2016. In your July 29 letter you provided a sample Officer's Certificate. And you explained how \_\_\_\_\_ will use it to demonstrate to the bank where the Lockbox Account is kept that corresponding funds have been released to the beneficiary.

## **II. Discussion**

### **1. \_\_\_\_\_ is paying beneficiaries with its own funds before receiving money from customers.**

\_\_\_\_\_ is subject to the MTA if it is engaging in money transmission and does not qualify for an exemption. \_\_\_\_\_ is engaging in money transmission under the MTA if it is receiving money for transmission. Whether \_\_\_\_\_ is receiving money for transmission turns on whether \_\_\_\_\_ receives funds from its customers in advance of or contemporaneously with its payments to beneficiaries.

The MTA, in relevant part, defines "money transmission" as "receiving money for transmission."<sup>1</sup> The MTA further provides that "receiving money for transmission" or "money received for transmission" means receiving money or monetary value for transmission within or outside the United States by electronic or other means.<sup>2</sup>

Both phrases "receiving money for transmission" and "money received for transmission" share the same element that is most essential to this discussion: "for transmission." The phrase "for transmission" refers to the precise purpose for which money is entrusted to a transmitter. In other words, "for transmission" refers to the temporary entrustment of money (i.e. until the transmitter forwards it). A consumer who entrusts money to an unlicensed money transmitter risks the loss of that money until the beneficiary receives it. The regulatory supervision that accompanies a money transmitter license protects against

---

<sup>1</sup> Fin. Code § 2003, subd. (q)(3).

<sup>2</sup> Fin. Code § 2003, subd. (u).

this particular kind of loss. Only money that is intended for transmission to a beneficiary is money received for transmission within the meaning of MTA.

As stated in your July 7 and July 29 letters, under the Lockbox Solution, \_\_\_\_\_ pays beneficiaries with its own funds, not the funds of its clients. Furthermore, according to your July 7 and July 29 letters, the bank at which the Lockbox Account is held will not release a \_\_\_\_\_ customer's funds to \_\_\_\_\_'s operating account until \_\_\_\_\_ has demonstrated to the bank that the beneficiary has been paid. Thus, \_\_\_\_\_ bears the risk of loss in the event the customer does not reimburse \_\_\_\_\_ for the money received by the beneficiary, because \_\_\_\_\_ has paid the beneficiaries before receiving money from its customers. Thus, the customer is reimbursing \_\_\_\_\_ rather than sending \_\_\_\_\_ money for transmission.

**2. \_\_\_\_\_ is receiving reimbursement.**

The Lockbox Solution described in your July 7 and July 29 letters is structured in such a way that \_\_\_\_\_ does not receive money from its customers until after it has satisfactorily demonstrated to the bank holding the Lockbox Account that the beneficiary has already been paid. Were \_\_\_\_\_ to receive its customers' funds in advance of or contemporaneously with its payment of funds to their beneficiaries, \_\_\_\_\_ would be receiving money for transmission since it was entrusted with customer funds before the beneficiary was paid. Since there would be no break in time between the transmission and the receipt of money, \_\_\_\_\_'s customers would risk losing their funds.

Because \_\_\_\_\_ does not receive its customers' funds until after it demonstrates to the bank holding the Lockbox Account that the beneficiary has been paid, \_\_\_\_\_'s customers do not risk losing their funds. The funds that \_\_\_\_\_ receives from its clients are therefore reimbursement and not transmission money.

**III. Conclusion**

For these reasons, the Department concludes that the payment of funds to a beneficiary is not money transmission where the customer's funds are received subsequent to the payment and not in advance or contemporaneously with it. Accordingly, \_\_\_\_\_ is not engaging in money transmission and therefore not subject to the MTA. Whether \_\_\_\_\_ qualifies for an exemption from the MTA is therefore irrelevant.

This opinion is based solely on the facts presented in your correspondence. Any changes in the facts or circumstances, as we understand them, could lead to a different conclusion.

October 6, 2016  
Page 5

You may call me at \_\_\_\_\_ with any questions.

Sincerely,

Jan Lynn Owen  
Commissioner of Business Oversight

By  
Manuela Rumsey  
Senior Counsel

MR:is

cc: Robert Venchiarutti, Department of Business Oversight, San Francisco