

FILED
PLACER COUNTY
SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA

FEB 04 2011

EXECUTIVE OFFICER & CLERK
By T. Ducharme Deputy

**SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
FOR THE COUNTY OF PLACER**

THE PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF
CALIFORNIA, by and through the
CALIFORNIA CORPORATIONS
COMMISSIONER

Plaintiff,

vs.

ROBERT WAYNE HANSON, JR. an
individual, and
VISIONARY GROUP HOLDINGS, INC., a
California corporation,

Defendants.

ROBERT WAYNE HANSON, JR., and
VISIONARY GROUP HOLDINGS, INC.

Cross-Complainants,

vs.

DANIEL GANAS, PAT BROWN, BRYAN L.
COCHRAN, ROBERT ELLIS, GEORGE
NAMEY and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive,

Cross-Defendants.

Case No.: S-CV-24266

**[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING, IN
PART, MOTIONS FOR SANCTIONS
AGAINST DEFENDANTS ROBERT
WAYNE HANSON, JR. AND VISIONARY
GROUP HOLDINGS, INC.**

Judge: Honorable Commissioner Wells
Dept: 40

Hearing Date: January 20, 2011
Hearing Time: 8:30 a.m.
Date Action Filed: August 13, 2008
Trial Date: March 14, 2011

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

The motions of Plaintiff People of the State of California, by and through the California Corporations Commissioner (“Plaintiff”) for sanctions against Defendants Robert Wayne Hanson, Jr. (“Hanson”) and Visionary Group Holdings, Inc. came on for hearing in Department 40 of this Court on January 20, 2011.

Having read the motions, the memoranda, the declaration and the separate statements in support of motion for sanctions and having read the memorandum, the declaration and the separate statement in opposition to the motion for sanctions and good cause appearing,

IT IS ORDERED that Plaintiff’s motions for terminating and other sanctions as to Defendants Hanson and Visionary Holdings Group, Inc. are granted. Defendants failed to comply with the court’s order to provide further discovery responses. Defendant Hanson has failed to provide any reasonable explanation for his failure to do so. The court therefore grants terminating sanctions as to both Defendants and orders their answers stricken and their defaults entered. Plaintiff’s requests for issue and evidentiary sanctions and to deem requests for admissions admitted are denied as moot. Plaintiff’s request for monetary sanctions is also denied. Plaintiff’s motion for bench trial is denied as moot given the court’s ruling granting terminating sanctions.

Dated: 2-4-11

Margaret Wells, Commissioner
JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

Approved as to form:

Dated: _____

Mr. Robert Wayne Hanson, Jr, pro se

Dated: 1/31/11

Mr. Alex Calero, Esq.
Attorney for plaintiff
PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA