



1 Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction came on regularly for hearing on May 19,  
2 2011, in Department 78 of the above-entitled court located at 111 N. Hill Street, Los Angeles,  
3 California. This Court, having read and considered the motion, memorandum of points and  
4 authorities, complaint, declarations and exhibits, and all other evidence presented, having heard the  
5 arguments of counsels and good cause appearing therefore:

6 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Injunction is GRANTED,  
7 and a Preliminary Injunction issue as follows:

8 (1) All Defendants and all Does, and their officers, directors, successors in interest,  
9 controlling persons, agents, employees, attorneys in fact, and all other persons acting in concert or  
10 participating with them, or any of them, are hereby restrained and enjoined from directly or  
11 indirectly:

12 (a) Violating Corporations Code section 25110 by offering to sell, selling, arranging for  
13 the sale, issuing, engaging in the business of selling, negotiating for the sale of, or otherwise in any  
14 way dealing or participating in the offer or sale of, any security of any kind, including but not limited  
15 to the securities described in this Complaint, unless such security or transaction is qualified;

16 (b) Violating Corporations Code section 25401 by offering to sell or selling any security  
17 of any kind, including but not limited to, the securities described in this Complaint, by means of any  
18 written or oral communication, which contains any untrue statements of any material fact or omits or  
19 fails to state any material fact necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the  
20 circumstances under which they are made, not misleading, including but not limited to the  
21 misrepresentations and omissions alleged in this Complaint; and

22 (c) Removing, destroying, mutilating, concealing, altering, transferring, or otherwise  
23 disposing of, in any manner, any books, records, computer programs, computer files, computer print-  
24 outs, correspondence, brochures, manuals, or any other writings or documents of any kind as defined  
25 under California Evidence Code section 250 relating to the transactions and course of conduct as  
26 alleged in this Complaint.

27 (2) Defendants Gerard Suite, a.k.a. Gerard Sweet a.k.a. Raul Jerard Anthony a.k.a. R.J.  
28 Anthony a.k.a. Rawle Gerard Suite a.k.a. Gerard S. Rawle a.k.a. Rawle Gerard Girard and

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Technology Communication Management, L.L.C. and their officers, directors, successors in interest, controlling persons, agents, employees, attorneys in fact, and all other persons acting in concert or participating with them, or any of them, are hereby restrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly violating the July 25, 2006 Desist and Refrain Order issued by the California Corporations Commissioner against Technology Communication Management, L.L.C. and Gerard Suite.

(3) Defendant Gerard Suite, a.k.a. Gerard Sweet a.k.a. Raul Jerard Anthony a.k.a. R.J. Anthony a.k.a. Rawle Gerard Suite a.k.a. Gerard S. Rawle a.k.a. Rawle Gerard Girard and his agents, employees, attorneys in fact, and all other persons acting in concert or participating with him are hereby restrained and enjoined from directly or indirectly conducting business as an investment adviser in this state without first having applied for and secured from the California Corporations Commissioner, a certificate, then in effect, authorizing him to conduct business as an investment adviser.

Dated: 5/19/11

\_\_\_\_\_  
**JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT**