1	MARY ANN SMITH Deputy Commissioner				
2	Deputy Commissioner DOUGLAS M. GOODING Assistant Chief Counsel				
3	Assistant Chief Counsel JOANNE ROSS (SBN 202338)				
4	Senior Corporations Counsel DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT				
5	1515 K Street, Suite 200 Sacramento, California 95814				
6	(916) 324-9687 telephone (916) 445-6985 facsimile				
7	jross@corp.ca.gov				
8	Attorneys for Complainant				
9					
10		T OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT			
11		OF CALIFORNIA			
12	In the Matter of	FILE NO. 9730			
13	THE COMMISSIONER OF THE DEPARTMENT OF BUSINESS OVERSIGHT)) 1) STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF			
14	OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA,	ORDER LEVYING ADMINISTRATIVE			
15	Complainant,	PENALTIES PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE			
16	V.	SECTION 25252;			
17) 2) CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF			
18	Lighthouse Real Estate Consulting, LLC,	PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS			
19	dba Lighthouse Real Estate Consultants, LLC, dba LREC, LLC,	CODE SECTION 25254; and			
	dba Lighthouse Resort & Marina,	3) DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER.			
20	dba Lighthouse Marina, dba Light House Marina,				
21					
22	Applied Capital Group, Inc.,				
23	VHL, Inc.,				
24	Wayne Cortopassi,				
25	and				
26	and))			
27	Ronald Keith Cole				
28	Respondents))			
		1			

STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF ORDER LEVYING ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25252; CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF PURSUANT TO CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25254; AND DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER.

Jan Lynn Owen, the Commissioner of Business Oversight ("Commissioner") of the Department of Business Oversight ("Department"), collectively ("Complainant"), alleges and charges as follows:

I.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- 1. At all relevant times herein, Lighthouse Real Estate Consulting, LLC, dba Lighthouse Real Estate Consultants, LLC, dba LREC, LLC, dba Lighthouse Resort & Marina, dba Lighthouse Marina, dba Light House Marina, ("Lighthouse"), was an Arizona Limited Liability Company with its primary place of business at 8863 Greenback Lane, #195, Orangevale, California 95661.
- 2. At all relevant times herein, Applied Capital Group, Inc. ("Applied Capital") held itself out as a Nevada corporation, Member of and signatory for Lighthouse. At all relevant times herein, Ronald Keith Cole ("Cole") held himself out as President of Applied Capital.
- 3. At all relevant times herein, VHL, Inc. ("VHL") held itself out as a Nevada corporation, Member of and signatory for Lighthouse. At all relevant times herein, Wayne Cortopassi ("Cortopassi") held himself out as President of VHL.
- 4. Cortopassi, Cole, Applied Capital, VHL, and Lighthouse are herein collectively referred to as "Respondents".
- 5. Whenever reference is made in this Statement in Support to "Respondents" doing any act, the allegation shall mean the act of each respondent acting individually, jointly and severally.

<u>VIOLATIONS OF CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25110</u> (UNQUALIFIED OFFERS AND SALES OF SECURITIES)

- 6. Beginning on or about April 18, 2006, Respondents, by way of issuer transactions, offered and sold to investors K.H., R.F., R.S., M.E., D.P. and R.H. ("Investors"), who were each California residents, securities in the form of unsecured or inadequately secured promissory notes ("Notes"). The Notes promised a return on investment of eleven to fifteen percent (11-15%) interest on a monthly basis, with the entire principal balance payable upon the end of the term of the Note or upon default by Respondents. The offerings were made in person by Respondents and their agents.
- 7. The six Investors entered into Security Agreements with Respondents over multiple years

28

a)

1	and for a total investment by all Investors of seven hundred thirty-four thousand and two hundred
2	dollars (\$734,200) as follows:
3	K.H \$120,000
4	R.F \$35,000
5	R.S \$154,200
6	M.E \$100,000
7	D.P \$150,000
8	R.H \$175,000
9	8. The Notes offered and sold by Respondents are securities subject to qualification under the
0	Corporations Code. Respondents did not have a qualification permit for these securities from the
1	Commissioner, and the securities do not meet the requirements of an exemption.
12	9. Corporations Code section 25110 prohibits the offer and/or sale of non-exempt securities in
13	this state.
4	VIOLATIONS OF CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25401
14	<u>VIOLATIONS OF CORPORATIONS CODE SECTION 25401</u> (MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS)
15	(MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS)
15 16	(MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS) 10. Respondents also sold the Notes to at least two accredited investors: J.P. and D.M
15 16 17	(MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS) 10. Respondents also sold the Notes to at least two accredited investors: J.P. and D.M ("Accredited Investors"). The Accredited Investors together invested a total of two hundred
15 16 17 18	(MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS) 10. Respondents also sold the Notes to at least two accredited investors: J.P. and D.M ("Accredited Investors"). The Accredited Investors together invested a total of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000) as follows:
15 16 17 18	(MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS) 10. Respondents also sold the Notes to at least two accredited investors: J.P. and D.M ("Accredited Investors"). The Accredited Investors together invested a total of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000) as follows: J.P \$100,000
15 16 17 18 19	(MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS) 10. Respondents also sold the Notes to at least two accredited investors: J.P. and D.M ("Accredited Investors"). The Accredited Investors together invested a total of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000) as follows: J.P \$100,000 D.M \$100,000
15 16 17 18 19 20	(MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS) 10. Respondents also sold the Notes to at least two accredited investors: J.P. and D.M ("Accredited Investors"). The Accredited Investors together invested a total of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000) as follows: J.P \$100,000 D.M \$100,000 11. The Accredited Investors and the Investors ("All Investors") invested a total amount of nine
15 16 17 18 19 20 21	(MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS) 10. Respondents also sold the Notes to at least two accredited investors: J.P. and D.M ("Accredited Investors"). The Accredited Investors together invested a total of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000) as follows: J.P \$100,000 D.M \$100,000 11. The Accredited Investors and the Investors ("All Investors") invested a total amount of nine hundred and thirty-four thousand, two hundred dollars (\$934,200) in the Notes offered by
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	(MISREPRESENTATIONS AND OMISSIONS OF MATERIAL FACTS) 10. Respondents also sold the Notes to at least two accredited investors: J.P. and D.M ("Accredited Investors"). The Accredited Investors together invested a total of two hundred thousand dollars (\$200,000) as follows: J.P \$100,000 D.M \$100,000 11. The Accredited Investors and the Investors ("All Investors") invested a total amount of nine hundred and thirty-four thousand, two hundred dollars (\$934,200) in the Notes offered by Respondents.

Respondents omitted to inform All Investors that the Commissioner issued a desist

were made, not misleading. These misrepresentations and omissions were the following:

and refrain order against Cortopassi and Lighthouse on March 22, 2006 ("2006 Order"). The 2006 Order prohibited Respondents from offering or selling securities in the State of California unless and until qualification had been made under this law or unless exempt.

- b) Respondents omitted to inform All Investors that the Department of Real Estate ("DRE") took an official action against Cole in July of 2002, where the DRE Commissioner found that Cole's acts and omissions "constitute the making of substantial misrepresentations, and fraud and dishonest dealing". Respondents omitted to inform All Investors that, related to this action, the DRE Commissioner revoked Cole's real estate broker license and ordered him to pay restitution to his victim in November of 2002.
- c) Respondents promised All Investors that their investment via the Notes was adequately secured by valid and perfected security interests in consumer receivables, and that the consumer receivables were free and clear of all liens, encumbrances, adverse claims, and any other security interests ("Liens"). In fact, the consumer receivables were not free and clear of all Liens and the Respondents failed to perfect the security interests for All Investors.
- 13. Corporations Code section 25401 prohibits the offer and/or sale of securities in this state by means of written or oral communications that include untrue statements of material facts, or omit material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.

VIOLATION OF DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER ISSUED BY THE COMMISSIONER AGAINST RESPONDENTS

- 14. On March 22, 2006, the Commissioner issued the 2006 Order against Respondents as a result of the offer of unqualified, nonexempt securities in the form of ownership interests and notes secured by consumer receivables ("Interests"), in violation of Corporations Code section 25110.
- 15. The 2006 Order prohibits Respondents from further offering or selling securities in the State of California unless and until qualification has been made under this law or unless exempt.
- 16. The 2006 Order was personally served on Cortopassi as an individual and as a principal of Lighthouse on March 28, 2006.
- 17. Respondents violated the 2006 Order in the following ways:

a) Beginning on or around April 18, 2006 (less than three weeks after the 2006 Order
was personally served), Respondents offered and sold securities to the Investors. Respondents did
not have a qualification permit for these securities, nor did they claim an exemption for the
securities with the Commissioner.
b) Following the issuance and service of the 2006 Order, Respondents failed to disclose
to Investors that the State of California had found that they violated the California securities laws
and had ordered them not to offer or sell securities to California residents.
II.
ORDER LEVYING ADMINISTRATIVE PENALTIES
(For violations of Corporations Code sections 25110, 25401, and the Commissioner's Order)

(2 of violations of corporations code sections 20110, 20 101, and the commissioner s of the

18. Complainant re-alleges and reincorporates by reference paragraphs 1-17 this Statement in Support as though fully set forth herein.

19. Corporations Code section 25252 authorizes the Commissioner to issue an order levying administrative penalties against any person for willful violations of any provision of the Corporations Code, and any rules promulgated thereunder or orders issued thereunder. Specifically, Corporations Code section 25252 provides, in relevant part:

The commissioner may, after appropriate notice and opportunity for hearing, by orders, levy administrative penalties as follows:

- (a) Any person subject to this division, other than a broker-dealer or investment adviser, who willfully violates any provision of this division, or who willfully violates any rule or order adopted or issued pursuant to this division, is liable for administrative penalties of not more than one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for the first violation, and not more than two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each subsequent violation.
- 20. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, as set forth above in paragraphs 1-17, Respondents willfully violated the following:
- a) Corporations Code section 25110 by offering and selling securities that are not qualified by the Department or exempt to the following Investors:
 - i) K.H.

2		iii)	R.S.
3		iv)	M.E.
4		v)	D.P.
5		vi)	R.H.
6	b)	Corpo	orations Code section 25401 by misrepresenting and omitting material
7	information i	n associ	ation with the offers and sales of securities to All Investors:
8		i)	K.H.
9		ii)	R.F.
10		iii)	R.S.
11		iv)	M.E.
12		v)	D.P.
13		vi)	R.H.
14		vii)	J.P.
15		viii)	D.M.
16	c)	the 20	06 Order issued by the Commissioner as a result of the offers and sales of
17	securities tha	t are no	t qualified by the Department or exempt to the following Investors:
18		i)	K.H.
19		ii)	R.F.
20		iii)	R.S.
21		iv)	M.E.
22		v)	D.P.
23		vi)	R.H.
24	WHE	REFO	RE , good cause showing, and pursuant to Corporations Code section 25252,
25	the Commiss	ioner pr	ays for an order levying administrative penalties against Respondents,
26	jointly and severally, as follows:		
27	A)	That p	pursuant to Corporations Code section 25252, the Commissioner levy
28	administrativ	e penalt	ies of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for the first violation of Corporations Code

R.F.

ii)

1

6

1	section 23110	and tw	70 thousand five hundred domais ($$2,500$) for each subsequent violation, as
2	listed below,	for a to	tal amount of thirteen thousand five hundred dollars (\$13,500).
3		1)	K.H \$1,000
4		2)	R.F \$2,500
5		3)	R.S \$2,500
6		4)	M.E \$2,500
7		5)	D.P \$2,500
8		6)	R.H \$2,500
9	B)	That p	oursuant to Corporations Code section 25252, the Commissioner levy
10	administrative penalties of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for the first violation of Corporations		
11	Code section 25401 and two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each subsequent violation		
12	as listed below, for a total amount of eighteen thousand five hundred dollars (\$18,000).		
13		1)	K.H \$1,000
14		2)	R.F \$2,500
15		3)	R.S \$2,500
16		4)	M.E \$2,500
17		5)	D.P \$2,500
18		6)	R.H \$2,500
19		7)	J.P \$2,500
20		8)	D.M \$2,500
21	C)	That p	oursuant to Corporations Code section 25252, the Commissioner levy
22	administrative penalties of one thousand dollars (\$1,000) for the first violation of the 2006 Order		
23	and two thousand five hundred dollars (\$2,500) for each subsequent violation, as listed below, for		
24	a total amoun	t of thi	rteen thousand five hundred dollars (\$13,500).
25		1)	K.H \$1,000
26		2)	R.F \$2,500
27		3)	R.S \$2,500
28		4)	M.E \$2,500

D) The total amount of administrative penalties for violations of Corporations Code	
The total amount of administrative penantics for violations of Corporations Code	
sections 25110, 25401, and the 2006 Order by Respondents is forty-five thousand dollars	
(\$45,000).	
III.	
CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF	
(For violations of Corporations Code sections 25110, 25401, and the Commissioner's Or	
21. Complainant re-alleges and reincorporates by reference paragraphs 1-17 of this Statemen	
in Support as though fully set forth herein.	
22. Corporations Code section 25254 authorizes the Commissioner to seek ancillary relief of	
behalf of any person injured by violations of any provision of the Corporations Code and any	
rules promulgated thereunder. Corporations Code section 25254 states:	
(a) If the commissioner determines it is in the public interest, the	
commissioner may include in any administrative action brought under this part a claim for ancillary relief, including, but not limited to, a	
claim for restitution or disgorgement or damages on behalf of the	
persons injured by the act or practice constituting the subject matter of the action, and the administrative law judge shall have jurisdiction to	
award additional relief.	
(b) In an administrative action brought under this part, the commissioner is entitled to recover costs, which in the discretion of the	
administrative law judge may include an amount representing	
reasonable attorney's fees and investigative expenses for the services rendered, for deposit into the State Corporations Fund for the use of	
the Department of Corporations.	
23. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, as set forth fully above in paragraphs 1-17,	
Respondents sold securities in violation of Corporations Code sections 25110, 25401, and the	
Commissioner's 2006 Order, in the total amount of at least nine hundred thirty-four thousand tw	
hundred dollars (\$934,200) to at least eight California investors, as follows:	
K.H \$120,000	
R.F \$35,000	

D.P. - \$2,500

5)

1

ections 25110, 25401, and the 2006 Order by Respondents is forty-five thousand dollars
\$45,000).
III.
CLAIM FOR ANCILLARY RELIEF
(For violations of Corporations Code sections 25110, 25401, and the Commissioner's Order)
1. Complainant re-alleges and reincorporates by reference paragraphs 1-17 of this Statement
n Support as though fully set forth herein.
2. Corporations Code section 25254 authorizes the Commissioner to seek ancillary relief on
ehalf of any person injured by violations of any provision of the Corporations Code and any
ules promulgated thereunder. Corporations Code section 25254 states:
(a) If the commissioner determines it is in the public interest, the commissioner may include in any administrative action brought under this part a claim for ancillary relief, including, but not limited to, a claim for restitution or disgorgement or damages on behalf of the persons injured by the act or practice constituting the subject matter of the action, and the administrative law judge shall have jurisdiction to award additional relief.
(b) In an administrative action brought under this part, the commissioner is entitled to recover costs, which in the discretion of the administrative law judge may include an amount representing reasonable attorney's fees and investigative expenses for the services rendered, for deposit into the State Corporations Fund for the use of the Department of Corporations.
3. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, as set forth fully above in paragraphs 1-17,
Respondents sold securities in violation of Corporations Code sections 25110, 25401, and the
Commissioner's 2006 Order, in the total amount of at least nine hundred thirty-four thousand two
undred dollars (\$934,200) to at least eight California investors, as follows:
S.H \$120,000

8

1 || R.S. - \$154,200

2	M.E \$100,000			
3	D.P \$150,000			
4	R.H \$175,000			
5	J.P \$100,000			
6	D.M \$100,000			
7	WHEREFORE, good cause showing and the Commissioner's determination that this			
8	action is in the public interest and necessary to effectuate the Department's primary, legitimate,			
9	regulatory purpose based upon the Respondents' violations of the Corporations Code, the			
10	Commissioner hereby prays for an order of ancillary relief pursuant to Corporation Code section			
11	25254, individually, jointly and severally against Respondents as follows:			
12	A) full restitution, consisting of Investors' investment principal, as set forth above, in			
13	the total amount of nine hundred thirty-four thousand two hundred dollars (\$934,200); and			
14	B) interest accumulated on the investment principal or according to proof.			
15	IV.			
16	DESIST AND REFRAIN ORDER			
17	24. Complainant re-alleges and reincorporates by reference paragraphs 1-17 of this Statement			
18	in Support as though fully set forth herein.			
19	25. Corporations Code section 25532, in pertinent part, states:			
20	(a) If, in the opinion of the commissioner, (1) the sale of a security is subject to qualification under this law and it is being			
21	or has been offered or sold without first being qualified, the			
22	commissioner may order the issuer or offeror of the security to desist and refrain from the further offer or sale of the security			
23	until qualification has been made under this law			
24	(c) If, in the opinion of the commissioner, a person has violated			
25	or is violating Section 25401, the commissioner may order that person to desist and refrain from the violation.			
26				
27	26. Based on the foregoing findings of fact, as set forth fully above in paragraphs 1-17, the			
28	Commissioner is of the opinion that the securities in the form of promissory notes, offered and sold			
	9			

2	have been offered without being qualified, in violation of		
3	section 25110 of the Corporations Code.		
4	27. Further, the Commissioner is of the opinion that the securities offered and sold by		
5	Respondents were offered and sold in this state by means of written or oral communications that		
6	included untrue statements of material facts, or omitted to state material facts necessary in order to		
7	make the statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not		
8	misleading, in violation of section 25401 of the Corporations Code.		
9	IT IS HEREBY ORDERED EFFECTIVE IMMEDIATELY THAT:		
10	A) Pursuant to Section 25532 of the Corporations Code, Respondents desist and refrair		
11	from the further offer or sale in the State of California of securities, including but not limited to,		
12	promissory notes, unless and until qualification has been made under this law or unless exempt.		
13	B) Pursuant to Section 25532 of the Corporations Code, Respondents desist and refrain		
14	from offering or selling any security in the State of California, including but not limited to,		
15	promissory notes, by means of any written or oral communication which includes an untrue		
16	statement of a material fact, or omits to state a material fact necessary in order to make the		
17	statements made, in the light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading.		
18	This Order is necessary, in the public interest, for the protection of investors and consistent		
19	with the purposes, policies, and provisions of the Corporations Code.		
20	Dated: September 16, 2013 JAN LYNN OWEN		
21	Commissioner of Business Oversight		
22	D		
23	By MARY ANN SMITH		
24	Deputy Commissioner		
25			
26			
27			

by Respondents, are securities subject to qualification under the Corporations Code and are being or