

1 PRESTON DuFAUCHARD  
California Corporations Commissioner  
2 WAYNE STRUMPFER  
Deputy Commissioner  
3 ALAN S. WEINGER (CA BAR NO. 86717)  
Lead Corporations Counsel  
4 JUDY L. HARTLEY (CA BAR NO. 110628)  
Senior Corporations Counsel  
5 Department of Corporations  
320 West 4<sup>th</sup> Street, Ste. 750  
6 Los Angeles, California 90013-2344  
Telephone: (213) 576-7604 Fax: (213) 576-7181

7 Attorneys for Complainant  
8

9 BEFORE THE DEPARTMENT OF CORPORATIONS  
10 OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

11  
12 In the Matter of the Petition for Reinstatement ) Case No.: 963-1635  
of: )  
13 ) ORDER DENYING PETITION FOR  
14 ERIC MARK, JR. ) REINSTATEMENT  
15 )  
16 )  
17 )  
18 )  
19 )

20 TO: BILL LOCKYER  
21 ATTORNEY GENERAL, STATE OF CALIFORNIA  
Department of Justice  
22 P.O. Box 944255  
23 Sacramento, California 94244-2550

24 Mark C. Doyle, Esq.  
25 Tredway Lumsdaine & Doyle LLP  
26 1920 Main Street, Suite 1000  
Irvine, California 92614  
27  
28

1           Petitioner, Eric Mark, Jr., having filed a petition for reinstatement in regards to the May 7,  
2 1998 order barring Petitioner from any position of employment, management or control of an escrow  
3 agent issued in proceedings brought by the California Corporations entitled In the Matter of the  
4 Commissioner of Corporations of the State of California v. Millennium Escrow Corporation  
5 formerly known as Principal Escrow and Eric Mark, Jr.; the petition and written argument having  
6 been considered, this agency finds that:

7           Petitioner is not entitled to reinstatement of employment, management or control of an  
8 escrow agent for the following reasons:

9           1.       Petitioner, by way of his petition, continues to evidence his inability to act with the  
10 integrity and character necessary to holding a position in the independent escrow industry.  
11 Specifically, Petitioner declares under penalty of perjury in his petition that (i) this is his first  
12 petition for reinstatement since his bar in 1998, (ii) he has not been employed with an escrow  
13 company since 1998, and (iii) there have been no complaints to the Department of Corporations  
14 since 1998. All three statements are false. Petitioner had previously filed a Petition for  
15 Reinstatement with the Commissioner on or about March 23, 2000, which petition was denied.  
16 Moreover, on or about April 30, 2001, Petitioner’s father, Eric Mark, Sr., was barred from any  
17 position for employment, management or control requiring Eric Mark, Sr. to relinquish his  
18 ownership of Millennium Escrow for allowing Petitioner to continue to engage in employment and  
19 management activities on behalf of Millennium Escrow in violation of the May 7, 1998 bar order.

20           2.       Petitioner discusses his past activities in the escrow business as if they only involved  
21 the violations (violation of lender instructions and preparation of false receipts in connection  
22 therewith) that led to the initial April 14, 1997 order in which Petitioner was suspended from  
23 employment for thirty days and barred from any position of management or control for a period of  
24 one year. Petitioner conveniently ignores that the May 7, 1998 Bar Order was a result of his having  
25 violated the April 14, 1997 bar order and that he thereafter violated the May 7, 1998 bar order as  
26 described in paragraph 1 above. As such, Petitioner continues in his failure to accept responsibility  
27 for his actions, which include repeatedly violating orders of a state agency.  
28

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

3. Petitioner has attempted no educational training, which would assist in his rehabilitation process, other than what he was absolutely required to undertake to maintain his real estate license. Additionally, the main focus of the courses he did undertake were subjects relating to real estate sales and not issues pertinent to the independent escrow industry, such as escrow processing and handling and accounting of trust funds under the California Escrow Law. Mark has not otherwise demonstrated that he will not violate the Escrow Law and/or orders issued thereunder in the future, or that he possesses the technical skills or knowledge of the policies, procedures, and controls necessary to competently be employed with, or manage or control an escrow agent, in compliance with the Escrow Law and/or orders issued thereunder.

4. The only proof of rehabilitation offered by Petitioner outside his own self-serving statements, is one letter from an escrow company owner, which is quite vague as to the violations engaged in by Petitioner and appears to display an ignorance as to Petitioner’s escrow activities since 1998 with the comment “I do know that Eric has remained out of the escrow industry.” Accordingly, little weight can be given this letter of reference.

WHEREFORE, IT IS ORDERED that the Petition be denied.

Dated: January 8, 2007  
Los Angeles, Ca

PESTON DuFAUCHARD  
California Corporations Commissioner

By \_\_\_\_\_  
Kathleen R. Partin  
Special Administrator, Escrow Law